**Late Imperial China**

History 222/322, University of Auckland

Dr Melissa Inouye, m.inouye@auckland.ac.nz

Overview:

When people think of “imperial China” or “traditional China” or “premodern China”, the images and tropes that come to mind are usually from the Ming-Qing periods. The history of this late imperial period is of critical importance because it encompasses a time when China was the most advanced civilization in the world, sets the stage for the tumultuous events of China’s “century of humiliation”, and establishes numerous precedents for the relationship between Chinese state and society and the formal and informal structures of everyday Chinese life.

Learning outcomes:

This course is a broad survey of key political, social, and cultural patterns that shaped the history of China from 1368 to 1800. Students who successfully complete this course will understand the basic structures of state and society during the Ming and Qing dynasties, including the imperial bureaucracy, key local institutions such as lineage and temple organizations, family and village relationships, and the Confucian tradition. They will be acquainted with case studies that help them to understand daily life and local communities during the Ming and Qing periods. They will be able to identify points of continuity or historical resonance between Ming, Qing, and 21st century China. Finally—last but certainly not least—students will develop their skills in reading, writing, presenting their ideas in public, and thinking critically.

LECTURES

HSB 201N, Mondays and Fridays, 9-10 AM

TUTORIALS

322 Mon 11-12 AM, 201E-508; Tues 9-10 AM, 119-G25

222 Tue 10-11 AM, 119-G25

OFFICE HOURS (Dr Inouye’s office)

Arts 2, 434, Mondays, 10-11 AM

DIRECTED READING (a classroom)

Arts 1, 510 (206-510), Mondays, 12-1 PM

REQUIRED TEXTS (i.e. buy these or borrow these religiously from Short Loan):

*Reacting to the Past* Student Manual *(Buy this. Beyond the game information and the primary*

*source texts within, which you will need for the Reacting to the Past game, the overview of Ming state and society is also comprehensive and accessible.)*

D.C. Lau, trans., *The Analects* (Harmondsworth, New York: Penguin Books, 1979). *(Buy this.)*

Ray Huang, *1587: A Year of No Significance* (New Haven: Yale University Press, 1982). *(Just*

*borrowing this Ray Huang text from Short Loan or reading it online should be fine.)*

F. W. Mote, *Imperial China* (Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press, 2003). *(This is an*

*amazing and very rich book. If you like Chinese history, buy this book for easy access and future reference. If you don’t want to buy the book, plan on borrowing it frequently from Short Loan for review, reference, and additional exploration. Feel free to use this book as a source for the research essay.)*

A KEY ONLINE REFERENCE

Go to the University Library website. From the drop-down menu “Search Everything” select “Databases,” and then select “Subject” and then “Chinese.” This opens up all of the primary document collections on China to which the University has access. You will want to use the following three collections:

1. China: Trade, Politics, and Culture
2. China, America, and the Pacific
3. Enlarged Yeonhaengrok Collections (Korean envoys’ travel records – in Korean)

ASSESSMENT (100% Coursework) **NO NAMES (just ID number or, for RTTP, Chinese name)**

222

10% Class Participation

20% Source Analysis and Bibliography (1000 words) **Mar 24, 11:59 PM, to Turnitin.com**

35% Research Essay (1700 words) **April 13, 11:59 PM, Canvas**

30% Reacting to the Past (RTTP) Coursework (1500 words)

5% Palace Examination (500 words), **May 15,** **in class**

25% Memorial (1300 words), **May 26, 11:59 PM, Canvas**

5% Qing History Quiz (500 words), **June 9, in class**

322

10% Class Participation

15% Abstract and Bibliography (800 words) **Mar 24, 11:59 PM, to Turnitin.com**

40% Research Essay (2700 words)**, April 13, 11:59 PM, to Turnitin.com**

30% Reacting to the Past (RTTP) Coursework (1500 words)

5% Palace Examination (500 words), **May 15, in class**

25% Memorial (1500 words), **May 26, 11:59 PM, to Turnitin.com**

5% Qing History Quiz (500 words), **June 9, in class**

**Participation Mark**: Each tutorial, up to 10 points are awarded (5 points for showing up, additional

points for good participation). At the end of the semester, these individual tutorial participation marks are averaged. Students should come to tutorial with a one-to-two sentence summary of the argument of *each* reading and should be prepared to offer their own responses to issues raised in the readings.

**RTTP Participation Bonus**: Students who participate actively in the RTTP game will receive high

marks for tutorial participation. At the end of the game, members of the winning faction will receive an additional 10-point tutorial participation mark (it will be as if they came to an extra session of class and participated splendidly).

**Standards for Submitted Work**: All submitted assignments should be proofread and should be free

of the Three Cardinal Sins (agreement problems, apostrophe problems, and run-

ons/fragments).

**Communication Policy**: All emails to the lecturer are to adhere to the following format if they wish to

receive a reply:

Subject Line: “Respectful Memorial on the Matter of \_\_\_\_\_[insert subject here]\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_”

Salutation: “Begging Your Sagely Perusal:”

Body of the email: [Whatever the matter is. You may use a normal 21st century professional tone.]

Closing: “Bowing deeply, \_\_\_\_\_[name]\_\_\_\_\_”

This is the actual format for upward bureaucratic communications during the Qing dynasty. Written communications that do not adhere to this format will not receive a reply other than a directive to please consult the course communication policy. If it cramps your style to put your questions about the course into Qing memorial format, you can always pose them to Dr Inouye directly before or after class, or during Directed Reading, tutorial, or office hours.

**Lateness Policy**: Work will be docked 2% out of the total possible marks per day late for up to a

maximum penalty of 30%. For example, an essay submitted two days late that receives 72/100 for content will be adjusted to a final mark of 68/100.

**Extensions Policy**: In every tutorial and in many lectures, attendance will be taken. Students with

only one absence from these tutorial+lecture records are entitled to an automatic 72-hour extension for both the research essay and the written version of the RTTP memorial (though they are not exempt from any presentations they may need to make in the course of the RTTP game). No other extensions will be granted.

**Referencing Policy**: Referencing for all assignments, including short writing assignments, research

essays, and RTTP coursework, should follow the Chicago Manual of Style, 16th Edition, Notes and Bibliography Style (see the Chicago Manual of Style 16th edition Quick Cite guide online). This is the internationally recognized referencing style for academic writing on history.

**GUIDING PRINCIPLES**

1) Front-loaded work. By the last class on the last day you will have completed all the required work for the course. This should lessen your stress at the end of the term. However, this means that you have assignments due as early as Week 3 and the research essay is due in Week 6, *before the mid-semester break*. Hit the ground running!

2) The textbook (Mote) is for background reading. It is a valuable tool for orienting yourself but you are not responsible for every single fact/date/detail on every page. Use it as needed to feel like you have a working understanding of the context or the relevant questions we will discuss in tutorial.

3) Mutual responsibility. Instructors’ job is to teach. Students’ job is to learn. By structuring the course and the classroom and through my preparation of the material, instructors facilitate learning. Students’ active engagement (including constructive feedback when necessary) also facilitates learning. Students who don’t understand something after consulting the course outline or completing the assigned reading should please feel free to ask questions. Please come to all class and tutorial meetings.

**SCHEDULE OF LECTURES AND TUTORIALS** (lecturer reserves the right to adjust if needed)

Week 1

MING QING HISTORY and the 21st CENTURY

March 6 Roadmap: Ming and Qing History and How This Course Will Change Your Life

\*Mini-tutorial: How to find primary sources

DIRECTED READING: Week 2’s Kuhn

NO TUTORIAL MEETING in WEEK ONE, but locate one primary source and one secondary source for the Source Analysis/Bibliography assignment. Be ready to report your findings in lecture on Friday (March 10). Optional: “Cinderella’s Dreams,” in Dorothy Ko, *Cinderella’s Sisters: A Revisionist History of Footbinding.*

March 10 The Past is a Foreign Country (or is it?) Footbinding 101

\*Mini-tutorial: The formula for secondary sources

Week 2

POWER AND MONEY

March 13 Emperors (Hongwu, Qianlong) and peasants

\*Mini-tutorial: fitting together: the river, the umbrella, the chocolate chip cookie

DIRECTED READING: Week 3’s Mao

TUTORIAL: Mote, 541-582; Kuhn, “Political Crime and Bureaucratic Monarchy,” in *Soulstealers* (Cambridge: Harvard University Press, 1992), 187-222; Shen Fu, *Six Records of a Floating Life*. Optional (especially read this if you are interested in government): Hucker, “The Ming Censorial Establishment,” *The Censorial System of Ming China* (Stanford: Stanford University Press, 1966), 30-65.

March 17 Gentry (shen-shi 紳士) and Civil Service Examination Culture

]\*Mini-tutorial: how to come up with an original thesis

Week 3

POWER AND MONEY

March 20 Silver in the Ming

\* Mini-tutorial: Avoiding the Three Cardinal Sins

No directed reading this week. Additional office hour in my office (Arts 2, 434) from 1-2 PM. If you are a woman considering postgraduate study, please come to a lunch chat with Dr Laurie Maffly-Kipp, a renowned religious historian who will be visiting the University. Location TBA, RSVP (to me) required.

TUTORIAL: A Primary Source Having to Do with Porcelain, Silk, or Opium (print it out and bring it to

tutorial), 1700-1840, AND EITHER

* Timothy Brook, “A Dish of Fruit,” *Vermeer’s Hat: The Seventeenth Century and the Dawn of a Global World* (New York: Bloomsbury, 2008), 54-83. (Global trade in the Ming, porcelain)
* OR Gregory Blue, “Opium for China: the British Connection,” Timothy Brook and Bob Tadashi Wakabayashi, *Opium Regimes* (Berkeley and Los Angeles: University of California Press, 2000), 1-36. (All about opium, global trade, the British empire, the Qing)
* OR Mao Haijian, *The Qing Empire and the Opium War: the Collapse of the Heavenly Dynasty* (Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 2016),57-88. (All about the late Qing military. Very detailed. Written by a PRC historian)

March 24 Silver, Tea, and Opium in the Qing

**SOURCE ANALYSIS/ABSTRACT AND BIBLIOGRAPHY DUE Friday March 24, 11:59 PM on Canvas.**

Week 4

THE 99.9%

March 27 Poets and Peasants: Women in Late Imperial China

DIRECTED READING: Week 5’s Menegon

TUTORIAL: William Theodore De Bary and Irene Bloom, *Sources of Chinese Tradition, Vol. I* (New York: Columbia University Press, 1999), “Women’s Education,” 819-836 AND Grace Fong, “Female Hands: Embroidery as a Knowledge Field in Women’s Everyday Life in Late Imperial and Early Republican China,” *Late Imperial China* 25 (2004), 1-58 OR Ko, *Teachers of the Inner Chambers: Women and Culture in Seventeenth-Century China.*

March 31 Yellow Water: Barges, Barge-Pullers, and Locks of the Grand Canal

\* Mini-tutorial: Citations

Week 5

ALIENS

April 3 The Lord of Heaven in Chinese Society

DIRECTED READING: Week 7’s Angle, “Wang Yangming as a Virtue Ethicist”

TUTORIAL Mote, 743-775 AND “Edicts of Toleration and Expulsion” (on Canvas) AND ALSO EITHER Jonathan Spence, “The Road to Emmaus,” *The Memory Palace of Matteo Ricci* (New York: Penguin, 1984, 128-161), OR Eugenio Menegon, “Becoming Local,” *Ancestors, Virgins, and Friars: Christianity as a Local Religion in Late Imperial China* (Cambridge: Harvard University Asia Center, 2009), 59-91.

April 7 China’s Borders (North, South, East, West)

Week 6

HOW NOT TO WRITE YOUR RESEARCH ESSAY

April 10 The Voyages of Zheng He under the Yongle Emperor

DIRECTED READING: Research essay peer editing workshop

TUTORIAL Michael Puett and Christine Gross-Loh, “On Relationships: Confucius and As-If Rituals,” *The Path: What Chinese Philosophers Can Teach Us About the Good Life* (New York: Simon and Schuster, 2016), 23-53. Optional: Philip Snow, “Chinese Columbus,” in *The Star Raft* (London: Weidenfeld and Nicholson, 1988).

**APRIL 13 Research essay due on Canvas, 11:59 PM**

APRIL 14 NO CLASS – START OF MID-SEMESTER BREAK

Suggestion: Read the *Analects*, the *RTTP* game manual, and the Wang Yangming readings over mid-semester break (you may need extra time to digest them)

Week 7

RIGHT AND WRONG

May 1 Confucianism, Daoism, Buddhism

DIRECTED READING: Analects

TUTORIAL *Analects* AND De Bary and Bloom, “Wang Yangming,” 842-855 AND Stephen Angle, “Wang Yangming as a Virtue Ethicist,” in John Makeham, ed., *The Dao Companion to Neo-Confucian Philosophy* (New York: Springer, 2010), 315-335.

May 5 Confucianism in the Ming: Elite and Popular Morality

Week 8

RTTP: The *Analects*

May 8 RTTP I: Introduction to the Game; Discussion of the *Analects*

DIRECTED READING: Huang*, 1587*

TUTORIAL RTTP II: *Analects* and Ray Huang, *1587: A Year of No Significance* (New Haven: Yale University Press, 1981), 1-74.

May 12 RTTP III Confucianism and political legitimacy; Distribution of Roles

Week 9

RTTP: Struggle for Survival

May 15 RTTP IV Palace Examination and Introduction to Court Protocol (222 in 207-501)

**May 15 222/322 Palace Examination in class**

DIRECTED READING: Wang Yangming’s memorial (in RTTP game manual)

TUTORIAL RTTP V (Bandit Control Policy debate): Read all primary documents in the RTTP game manual and Ray Huang, 75-188

May 19 RTTP VI Emperor and FGS Respond

Week 10

RTTP and OTHER STRANGE STORIES

May 22 RTTP VI Memorial Presentations

DIRECTED READING: Week 11’s reading on Kangxi

TUTORIAL RTTP VII Final Presentations and Results; Wu (trans. Waley) *Monkey* (excerpts) OR Owen (trans.), “Strange Stories”

May 26 Ming Qing print culture

**May 26 222/322 Polished final versions of Memorials due on Canvas, 11:59 PM**

Week 11

THE QING’S “SPLENDID AGE”

May 29 Three Great Emperors

DIRECTED READING: Week 12’s Chang, Kwong, and Saussy

TUTORIAL Mote, 856-886 (Kangxi) OR 887-911 (Yongzheng) OR 912-948 (Qianlong) AND E.N. Anderson, “Agriculture, Population, and Environment in Late Imperial China,” in T.J. Liu et al, eds., *Environment, Modernization and Development in East Asia* (Basingstoke: Palgrave MacMillan: 2016), 31-58.

June 2 The Qing Legal System: Justice, Love, and Torture

Week 12

THE QING’S “SPLENDID AGE”

June 5 Too Much Success: The Qing Population Problem

DIRECTED READING: Writing Exercises (Qing Quiz Prep)

TUTORIAL Mote 949-973; Owen (trans.), “Qing Poetry”, in Chang, Kwong, and Saussy, eds., *Women Writers of Traditional China* 430-443.

**June 9** Telling Stories (last lecture) and **Qing Quiz, in class**

**ASSIGNMENTS**

222 SOURCE ANALYSIS AND BIBLIOGRAPHY (1000 words, including bibliographic entries)

**Mar 24, 11:59 PM, to Canvas**

1. You must find at least 2 primary sources and 4 high-quality secondary sources (i.e. published in an academic journal or monograph, a survey text, or an online resource hosted by an academic institution). List them in a bibliography in the Chicago Manual of Style 16th Edition Notes and Bibliography style (notice that the style for a footnote is different from an entry in a bibliography).
2. Choose 1 primary source and 1 secondary source that you find particularly compelling (and somehow related) and analyze them. Address the following questions:
   1. [For the primary source] What is the text’s structure? Who is the author? Who is the intended audience? What is its larger historical and cultural context?
   2. [For the secondary source] What is the text’s argument? What are the author’s methods and evidence? Who is the intended audience? What is its larger historical and cultural context?
   3. What is the relationship between this primary and this secondary source? Which do you find the most convincing, and why? What questions or directions for exploration do these sources suggest to you [with your research essay in mind]?

322 ABSTRACT AND BIBLIOGRAPHY (800 words, including bibliographic entries)

**Mar 24, 11:59 PM, to Canvas**

1. You must find at least 3 primary sources and 5 high-quality research sources (i.e. published in an academic journal or monograph, a survey text, or an online resource hosted by an academic institution). List them in a bibliography in the Chicago Manual of Style 16th Edition Notes and Bibliography style (notice that the style for a footnote is different from an entry in a bibliography).
2. Write an abstract for your research paper in which you set out the [draft] argument, evidence, and significance of your paper. (Argument = thesis statement; evidence = primary and secondary sources that support the thesis; significance = the “so what” of the essay

RESEARCH ESSAY (222: 1700 words; 322: 2700 words)

**April 13, 11:59 PM, to Canvas**

Using at least eight (8) sources, primary (at least 3) and secondary (at least 5), address one of the two questions below. The essay should have an argument that is supported by evidence from these primary and secondary sources (and that shows awareness of the bias that shapes all sources).

1. Find a foreign account of Ming or Qing China (such as Catholic missionaries, various Western traders, or Korean emissaries). What did your chosen foreign observer in Ming and Qing China find most impressive or admirable about Chinese society? What did this observer find most lacking, jarring, or lamentable? What do this observer’s impressions of Chinese society tell us about the wider historical context? Your essay should deal with each of these issues. Your thesis statement should summarize your position on these three issues in an articulate and original way.
2. [With the instructor’s blessing] Pick one aspect of material culture (i.e. a “thing”, not a person) in everyday life that you find illuminated in the primary sources (for instance, stone bridges, tea, hairpins, peacock feathers, coffins, etc.). Provide numerous examples of the significance of this object in everyday life and demonstrate how understanding this aspect of material culture ties in to larger narratives about major shifts or developments in Ming and/or Qing social and cultural history. *Note: In order for this essay to be successful, it is important to focus very narrowly on a specific aspect of everyday life and to tie this to a narrative that is one or two orders of magnitude larger (but not too broad). Please do not try to write an essay about “the status of women in the Ming” or “the decline of the Qing”.*

The marking rubric for the research essay is included below. Please leave yourself enough time to properly attend to the tasks of proofreading and formatting references. Please feel free to come to office hours for help with finding and analyzing sources, developing and focusing your argument, etc.

PALACE EXAMINATION (500 words)

**May 15, in class**

The format of this quiz replicates the type of learning tested in the imperial civil service examination and traditional Chinese attempts to guarantee fairness. It is also a way for the Emperor and First Grand Secretary to become acquainted with the interests and expertise of the Grand Secretaries early on in the game. Below is the text of the actual examination.

1. Write from memory any three analects from the text of Confucius (6 points).
2. Be sure to identify book and number for each of the three analects (3 points).
3. After writing out the three analects, compose a thoughtful **eight-line** essay [*eight lines of text*, *not eight sentences*] that provides commentary on the analects you find especially meaningful. This commentary must explain the significance of the particular analects to some contemporary issue you believe deserves His Majesty’s attention (6 points).
4. Your calligraphy should be neat and elegant (3 points).

MEMORIAL (1300 words 222/1500 words 322)

**May 26, 11:59 PM, to Canvas**

The Emperor seeks your opinion on an important question of statecraft. Provide a thorough response in which you propose a policy solution to the challenge at hand. This response should draw on at least three quotes from the *Analects* and reference at least one historical (i.e. pre-1587) precedent. You must also take a clear, firm stand at the end of the memorial on the issue of which of the Emperor’s two sons should succeed to the throne. The prose of the memorial itself should reflect the authentic tone, content, and style of Ming memorials and should be free of anachronistic terminology, concepts, and symbols (i.e. do not appeal to “the divine right of kings” or “human rights,” etc.). To be able to write in an authentic style requires careful research, which you should document in footnotes. In addition to these parameters outlined above, a memorial must also draw on at least four high-quality research sources (a journal article, chapter, or monograph published by an academic press, not Wikipedia or the Encyclopedia Britannica, etc.) and the footnotes that document these sources should be in Chicago 16th Notes and Bibliography style.

Memorials will be marked by the same criteria as the research essay, except for the fact that the “Organization” and “Writing” columns will be combined into one and the fourth column will be “Authenticity.” A memorial with perfect marks for “Authenticity” will be indistinguishable from an actual Ming memorial.

MARKING RUBRIC FOR RESEARCH ESSAYS AND MEMORIALS

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| MARK | ARGUMENT  (COMPLEXITY, ORIGINALITY, SIGNPOSTING) | CONTENT  (SOURCES, FACTS, MAIN PROBLEM) | ORGANIZATION AND REFERENCING  (BASES COVERED, CITATIONS, BIBLIOGRAPHY PROVIDED) | WRITING  (STYLE, TONE, GRAMMAR, SPELLING) |
| A+ (90-100)  Nearly perfect  5 | The argument is original, sophisticated, and clearly articulated. Counterarguments are included. It is rigorously well-supported throughout. | Several high-quality academic sources (more than minimum required), including primary sources and research articles. Something particularly outstanding, such as a rare primary source find or a sophisticated historiographical argument. | The structure of the essay is easy to follow. Counterarguments are included. Introduction and conclusion helpfully frame the context and overall significance of the essay; by the conclusion, the essay has “taken the reader to a new place.” Bibliography is properly formatted. | Professional style.  No grammatical mistakes, spelling errors, or typographical errors. |
| A-, A (80-89)  Excellent  4 | The argument shows complexity and is clearly articulated. It is rigorously well-supported throughout. | Several high-quality academic sources (more than minimum required), including primary sources and research articles. Excellent comprehension and usage of these sources. | The structure of the essay is easy to follow. Introduction and conclusion helpfully frame context and overall significance of the essay. Bibliography is properly formatted. | Excellent style. Almost no grammatical, spelling, or typographical errors. |
| B+, B (70-79)  Good/Competent  3.5 | The argument is clearly stated and the main points in the body generally support it. | The required number of quality academic sources, including multiple primary sources and at least one research article or focused scholarly monograph. Competent use of the sources. A clear investment of time and intellectual effort. | The structure of the essay is easy to follow. Introduction and conclusion clearly package and review the argument. Bibliography is properly formatted. | Strong style. A few grammatical, spelling, or typographical errors. |
| B- (65-69)  A lot of good work/some work needed  3 | The argument is apparent and supported by evidence, but not clearly articulated in a concise thesis statement. | The required number academic sources of reasonable quality. Clearly a decent amount of reading has been done, yielding adequate understanding and context. Sources may be underexploited or simply “listed” without much interpretation. | The structure of the essay sometimes loses the argument or becomes burdened with irrelevant information. Introduction and conclusion do not enhance the clarity or strength of the argument. Bibliography is not properly formatted. | Satisfactory style. The Three Cardinal Sins (apostrophe misuse, agreement problems, and run-on/fragment problems) rear their ugly heads. |
| C+, C (55-64)  Some good work/some heavy lifting needed  2.5 | The argument is basic (i.e. broad or a bit simplistic), but identifiable OR, a more complex argument may be poorly supported. Use of evidence may be irrelevant or inadequate. | An insufficient number of sources for the task at hand. May not cite any high-quality sources (i.e. may rely heavily on a textbook instead of on research articles). Evidently a chunk of time was spent reading, but the use of the information has been less effective. | The structure of the essay is hard to follow. Introduction and conclusion say about the same thing as the body paragraphs OR say things that have nothing to do with the body paragraphs. Bibliography is not properly formatted. | Hard to understand sentences. Poor proofreading. The Three Cardinal Sins rear their ugly heads, with no one to stop them! |
| C-, D+ (45-55)  Enough has been done to pass, but some serious work needed  2 | General narration of events or explanation of information. Main body paragraphs offer more information but do not cohere. | Mostly internet citations or citations from a single source like a textbook. Clearly the student has read something, but that’s about it. | Introduction and conclusion are indistinguishable from body paragraphs. No bibliography or one or two incorrectly formatted references. | Hard to understand sentences. Reads like an email [or even worse, a text message]. Egregiously poor proofreading. Sloppy formatting mistakes. Three Cardinal Sins run rampant. |