**PHIL 102 - Structure of the exam. Duration: TWO hours**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
|  | Answer **THREE** questionsAnswer at least **ONE** question from **Section A** and at least **ONE** question from **Section B**. Answer a **THIRD** question from **EITHER** Section.**Do NOT** answer more than one question under any topic heading (For example, you may not answer both 1a and 1c, under Utilitarianism). If you do this, you will not receive marks for one of them! |
|  |  |

**Section A: Normative Ethical Theories**

1. Topic: UTILITARIANISM: Three options. Choose from a) OR b) OR c). No questions that correspond to your essay question.
2. Topic: DEONTOLOGY: Five options
3. Topic: VIRTUE ETHICS: Three options.

**Section B: Meta-ethics and Practical Ethics**

1. Topic: META-ETHICS: Three options
2. Topic: PRACTICAL ETHICS: Five options, covering QALYs, punishment, our treatment of other animals, and toleration. Again, you can choose only ONE of these.

Guidance on questions

* All questions ask for essay-style answers. There is no expected length – quality, not quantity, is what counts. But if you are writing less than 2 sides of the pages in your exam booklet (smaller than A4) then you might not be discussing the question in enough depth.
* References are not required, but you should know the names of the people whose views you discuss.
* Look at previous exams – from the last few years. 2016 might be the best guide to what you might expect to find in this exam.
* Some questions are very structured (they tell you what to discuss) others are not (they might ask you to “critically discuss” and leave you to choose your own focus).
* Some questions in Section A have comparative components – you are asked to compare theories on a particular topic, but you will be asked not to spend more than 25% of your essay answer on this.
* You will see from structure of the exam that it is possible to avoid answering on certain topics.